April 17th. 1919.

Mr. Philip Wharton Dickinson,
c/o New York Society Library,
109 University Place,.
New York City.

Dear Sir:

Your recent letter and genealogy report received. Am enclosing check for $12.80 as per your advice in your letter. However, there are several matters which I am unable to fathom out, although I may be able to do so after continued study. Were you able to find any copies of wills previous to the original No. 1, Robert Collier of Stone ------ who married Isabella, daughter of Sir John Doddington, will probated 1522 ? Also in reference to this matter in comparison of the Collier pedigrees, photographs of which you sent on the Tree which you constructed please advise what are your reasons for differing for the regular photo pedigree. You will note the following differences. We give the pedigree as follows: Robert Collier of Stone, will probated 1522; Thirston Collier, will probated 1538; James Collier, will probated 1545; Robert Collier of Darlaston, will probated 1586, and the Robert Collier of London, will probated 1625. Whereas the photo pedigree gives No. 1 originally Robert Collier a Frenchman, residing at Darlaston; his Son, James Collier, of Darlaston and then his Son, Robert Collier, of Darlaston ---- married to Agens Venables with the explanation on this pedigree stating that he had a Son Robert Collier who married the widow of Revell of Co. Derby. In comparison of these two differences advise just exactly why you conclude that the Robert Collier of London in your pedigree is the same as the Robert Collier in the photo pedigree, listed as Robert Collier of Derby Co. Please advise also why you have Thirston Collier being Son of Robert Stone of Darlaston when the photo pedigree does not take notice of Thirston, leaving him out. Why should the photo pedigree assume that Robert Collier of Darlaston originally came from France ? I would thank you greatly to explain these differences. In the event you are not able to do so without the papers which you sent me-- if you wish to look at these papers again I, of course, can mail them to you with a copy of this letter. You can advise in regard to that. If you had

access to the will of James Collier, Linen-draper, which you mention as having been probated in 1545, it seems that this would give fully who his father was or vice-versa the wills of Thirston Collier probated in 1538 and Robert Collier, probated in 1522.

In your first pedigree to me you state that Marjorie Strangs, the widow of St. Botolph Aldgate, married Robert Collier of London. In your last pedigree you do not mention this name. Please advise if this is correct.

Very respectfully,

D. C. Collier.

P. S. I expect to be in New York City during the latter part of May, and possibly might look you up. You might give me your local address.